1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON TACOMA DIVISION	
8		
9	MONET CARTER-MIXON, as Personal Representative of the Estate of MANUEL	
10	ELLIS, and MARCIA CARTER,	
11	Plaintiffs,	No
12	v.	PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
13 14 15 16	CITY OF TACOMA, CHRISTOPHER BURBANK, MATTHEW COLLINS, MASYIH FORD, TIMOTHY RANKINE, ARMANDO FARINAS, RON KOMAROVSKY, PIERCE COUNTY, GARY SANDERS, and ANTHONY MESSINEO,	JURY DEMAND
17	Defendants.	
18 19	Plaintiffs, MONET CARTER-MIXON as Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF	
20	MANUEL ELLIS, and MARCIA CARTER, by	and through their attorneys, allege as follows:
21	I. NATURE OF ACTION	
22	1.1. <u>Introduction.</u> This case arises from	n the wrongful killing of Manuel Ellis in Tacoma,
23	Washington on March 3, 2020. T	This is a civil rights action brought by Plaintiffs
24	Monet Carter-Mixon, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Manuel Ellis, and	
25	Marcia Carter, pursuant to 42 U	U.S.C. § 1983 and the Fourth and Fourteenth
26	-	claims under Washington Law. Plaintiffs' claims
27	i monamona, as won as pendent	Claims ander 1, admington Davi. I lamento Claims

are asserted against the City of Tacoma; Tacoma Police Officers Matthew Collins, Christopher Burbank, Timothy Rankine, Masyih Ford, Armando Farinas, and Ron Komarovksy; Pierce County; and Pierce County Sheriff Deputies Gary Sanders and Anthony Messineo.

- 1.2. Officers Matthew Collins, Christopher Burbank, Timothy Rankine, Masyih Ford, Armando Farinas, and Ron Komarovsky were operating under the reckless direction and supervision of the Defendant City of Tacoma at the time Manuel Ellis was killed.
- 1.3. Despite the Defendant Officers wrongful conduct, Tacoma has effectively approved and ratified their actions. The City of Tacoma implicitly and overtly condones and defends officers that use excessive force against individuals. Tacoma police officers are aware that they are unlikely to receive any discipline when they use deadly force against a human being. The City of Tacoma repeatedly fails to discipline officers who commit acts of excessive force, especially when it involves the use of deadly force. Tacoma fails to identify officers who may have violated individuals' Fourth Amendment rights. Tacoma fails to log, document, and investigate allegations of officer misconduct. The City of Tacoma's policies provide that documentation concerning complaints against officers and employee reprimands is routinely destroyed. When Tacoma officers are investigated for alleged misconduct the investigations are negligently conducted, and fail to adhere to established law enforcement standards and Washington law. For these and other reasons, the City of Tacoma is liable for the violation of Manuel Ellis' civil rights and wrongful death.

- 1.4. Deputy Gary Sanders and Lieutenant Anthony Messineo were operating under the reckless direction and supervision of the Defendant Pierce County at the time Manuel Ellis was killed.
- 1.5. Despite the wrongful conduct of Deputy Gary Sanders and Lieutenant Anthony Messineo, Pierce County has effectively approved and ratified their actions. Pierce County implicitly and overtly condones and defends officers that use excessive force against individuals. Pierce County law enforcement officers are aware that they are unlikely to receive any discipline when they use deadly force against a human being. Pierce County repeatedly fails to discipline officers who commit acts of excessive force, especially when it involves the use of deadly force. Pierce County fails to identify officers who may have violated individuals' Fourth Amendment rights. Pierce County fails to log, document, and investigate allegations of officer misconduct. Pierce County's policies provide that documentation concerning complaints against officers and employee reprimands is routinely destroyed. When Pierce County officers are investigated for alleged misconduct the investigations are negligently conducted, and fail to adhere to established law enforcement standards and Washington law. For these and other reasons, Pierce County is liable for the violation of Manuel Ellis' civil rights and wrongful death.

II. PARTIES

2.1 <u>Plaintiff Monet Carter-Mixon:</u> Monet Carter-Mixon ("Ms. Carter-Mixon") is the Personal Representative of the Estate of Manuel Ellis. She is the decedent's sister

and a resident of Pierce County, Washington. Ms. Carter-Mixon brings this lawsuit on behalf of the Estate and statutory beneficiaries of Manuel Ellis.

- 2.2 <u>Plaintiff Marcia Carter:</u> Marcia Carter ("Ms. Carter") is the mother of Manuel Ellis and she is a resident of Pierce County, Washington.
- 2.3 <u>Defendant Christopher Burbank:</u> At all times relevant, Christopher Burbank ("Office Burbank") was employed as a law enforcement officer by the Tacoma Police Department and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City of Tacoma and under the color of state law. Officer Burbank's acts alleged herein were taken for the benefit of the City of Tacoma and his marital community.
- 2.4 <u>Defendant Matthew Collins</u>: At all times relevant, Matthew Collins ("Officer Collins") was employed as a law enforcement officer by the Tacoma Police Department and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City of Tacoma and under the color of state law. Officer Collins' acts alleged herein were taken for the benefit of the City of Tacoma and his marital community.
- 2.5 <u>Defendant Timothy Rankine:</u> At all times relevant, Timothy Rankine ("Officer Rankine") was employed as a law enforcement officer by the Tacoma Police Department and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City of Tacoma and under the color of state law. Officer Rankine's acts alleged herein were taken for the benefit of the City of Tacoma and his marital community.
- 2.6 <u>Defendant Masyih Ford</u>: At all times relevant, Masyih Ford ("Officer Ford") was employed as a law enforcement officer by the Tacoma Police Department and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City of Tacoma and

under the color of state law. Officer Ford's acts alleged herein was taken for the benefit of the City of Tacoma and his marital community.

- 2.7 <u>Defendant Armando Farinas:</u> At all times relevant, Armando Farinas ("Officer Farinas") was employed as a law enforcement officer by the Tacoma Police Department and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City of Tacoma and under the color of state law. Officer Farinas' acts alleged herein were taken for the benefit of the City of Tacoma and his marital community.
- 2.8 <u>Defendant Ron Komarovsky:</u> At all times relevant, Ron Komarovsky ("Officer Komarovsky") was employed as a law enforcement officer by the Tacoma Police Department and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City of Tacoma and under the color of state law. Officer Komarovsky's acts alleged herein were taken for the benefit of the City of Tacoma and his marital community.
- 2.9 <u>Defendant City of Tacoma</u>: The City Tacoma is a municipal corporation located in the Western District of Washington. At all times material to this Complaint, Officers Collins, Burbank, Rankine, Ford, Farinas, and Komarovsky were agents of the City of Tacoma, acting within the scope of their employment, and under color of state law. The civil rights violations delineated herein were proximately caused by Tacoma's policies, customs, and the decisions of its policy-makers.
- 2.10 <u>Defendant Gary Sanders</u>: At all times relevant, Gary Sanders ("Deputy Sanders") was employed as a law enforcement officer by the Pierce County Sheriff's Office and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Pierce County

- and under the color of state law. Deputy Sanders' acts alleged herein were taken for the benefit of Pierce County and his marital community.
- 2.11 <u>Defendant Anthony Messineo</u>: At all times relevant, Anthony Messineo ("Lieutenant Messineo") was employed as a law enforcement officer by the Pierce County Sheriff's Office and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Pierce County and under the color of state law. Lieutenant Messineo's acts alleged herein were taken for the benefit of Pierce County and his marital community.
- 2.12 <u>Defendant Pierce County</u>: Pierce County is a municipal corporation located in the Western District of Washington. At all times material to this Complaint, Deputy Sanders and Lieutenant Messineo were agents of Pierce County, acting within the scope of their employment, and under color of state law. The civil rights violations delineated herein were proximately caused by Pierce County's policies, customs, and the decisions of its policy-makers.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3.1 This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C §§ 1331 and 1343.
- This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§ 1367.
- 3.3 Venue is appropriate in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 § 1391 because at least some of the Defendants reside in this judicial district and because the events and omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred within the Western District of Washington, Tacoma Division.

IV. FACTS

- 4.1 On the evening of March 3, 2020 Manuel Ellis decided to go to his neighborhood 7-Eleven store to get some snacks. He would never make it back home alive.
- 4.2 At approximately 11:11 p.m., Manuel purchased a box of raspberry doughnuts and a bottle of water. The clerk who sold the items to him did not observe any odd behavior by Manuel or any indications that he was under the influence of drugs or alcohol.
- 4.3 As Manuel was walking home from the 7-Eleven he was confronted by Officer Collins and Officer Burbank at the intersection of 96th Street S. and Ainsworth Avenue S. in Tacoma.
- 4.4 As confirmed by multiple eyewitnesses, Manuel was not the aggressor and Officers

 Collins and Burbank physically assaulted him while his back was turned.
- 4.5 Officer Burbank initially struck Manuel with the door of his vehicle.
- 4.6 The Officers then got out of their marked Tacoma Police Department vehicle, slammed Manuel down, and repeatedly struck him in the face with punches and elbows.¹
- 4.7 Manuel was unarmed during this incident.
- 4.8 Officer Collins was armed and outfitted in body armor at the time of the incident.

 He had previously served in the United States Army for approximately eight years and was very experienced in hand to hand combat. In addition to his Army Special Forces training Officer Collins had extensive training in grappling and martial arts.

¹ Part of this initial assault was recorded with a cell phone video by an independent eyewitness (S.M.) who happened to be driving behind the marked Tacoma Police Department vehicle. The two cell phone videos recorded by the eyewitness S.M. are publicly available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=06fdr3ey62s.

- 4.9 Officer Burbank was armed and outfitted in body armor at the time of the incident. He had previously served in the United States Army for approximately eight years and was very experienced in hand to hand combat.
- 4.10 Officer Collins elbowed Manuel in the face multiple times. He decided to use elbows rather that closed fist punches because he was concerned about breaking his hands.
- 4.11 After striking Manuel several times in the face Officer Collins placed him in a chokehold.²
- 4.12 As Manuel was being choked, Officer Burbank pointed a X-26 TASER at his chest.
- 4.13 Manuel put both of his hands up and tried to surrender to the Officers.
- 4.14 The Officers continued to escalate the incident and Officer Burbank tased Manuel in the chest. Officer Burbank proceeded to tase Manuel two more times while he was being restrained.³
- 4.15 With each shot, the X-26 TASER releases approximately 50,000 volts of electricity for a period of five seconds. Being tased causes significant pain and can cause involuntary muscle contractions, defecation, and loss of control of bodily functions. Further, when pointed at a person, a taser can sometimes appear to be a firearm.
- 4.16 The pain and fear that Manuel experienced was excruciating as he was repeatedly tased while being choked.
- 4.17 Officers Rankine and Ford were the next officers to arrive on scene.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 8

JAMES BIBLE LAW GROUP 14205 SE 36TH STREET SUITE 100 Bellevue, Washington 98006 T: 425-519-3675

² Officer Collins has characterized the chokehold as a Lateral Vascular Neck Restraint.

³ Portions of this assault were recorded with a cell phone video by an independent eyewitness (S.C.) who happened to be approaching the intersection perpendicular to the Tacoma Police Department vehicle. The cell phone video recorded by the eyewitness S.C. is publicly available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kj8Qk9oGXa4.

- 4.18 After the incident, Officer Rankine reported that when he arrived on scene he observed a black male subject that was proned out on the ground on his stomach.

 Officer Rankine stated, "Officer Burbank was on top of his upper torso, straddling his upper torso almost like he was riding a horse, with both of his hands pressed down on his upper body and then I saw Officer Collins try and secure both his legs."
- 4.19 Officer Rankine joined in holding Manuel down and restraining him. Manuel was face down and not resisting as Officer Rankine put his bodyweight on him, Manuel struggled to breathe. At the time of the incident Officer Rankine weighed approximately two hundred pounds.
- 4.20 Manuel was already in handcuffs when Officer Ford helped restrain him on the ground.
- 4.21 Manuel cried out, "I can't breathe sir, I can't breathe!"
- 4.22 One of the Officers responded to Manuel's cries for help by telling him to, "shut the fuck up!"
- 4.23 The Officers continued to aggressively restrain Manuel and they put hobbles on his legs.
- 4.24 Hobbling is more commonly known as "hogtieing."⁴
- 4.25 As Officer Rankine applied pressure to Manuel's back, a gang of officers helped hogtie Manuel.
- 4.26 Deputy Sanders grabbed Manuel's leg and assisted in restraining him.

Cambridge Dictionary, https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/hogtie.

⁴ "Hogtie: to fasten someone's hands and feet together, or to fasten all four legs of an animal together.

Example: He was hogtied like a gutted deer."

- 4.38 Prior to the application of the spit mask Manuel had said that he could not breathe.
- 4.39 Despite that fact, none of the individually named Defendants did anything to stop

 Officer Farinas from putting a TPD-issued spit mask on Manuel.
- 4.40 Manuel was bleeding from his face and mouth at the time spit mask was put over his head.
- 4.41 The application of the spit mask further inhibited Manuel's ability to breathe.
- 4.42 The TPD-issued spit mask should have never been used on Manuel.
- 4.43 The TPD-issued spit mask came with the following warnings:

WARNING: IMPROPER USE OF THE TRANSPORT HOOD MAY CAUSE INJURY OR DEATH The TranZport Hood™ is a temporary protective hood for use on those persons where a risk of exposure to infectious disease is present. If used properly, the TranZport Hood can reduce the risk of the wearer transmitting fluids (saliva and mucous) from the facial area, as by spitting, sneezing or coughing. Improper use may result in serious injury or death due to asphyxiation, suffocation or drowning in ones own fluids.

DO NOT USE this product unless prisoner is under control and restrained Wearer must be under constant visual supervision and should NEVER be left

or death due to asphyxiation, suffect

unattended
DO NOT USE on anyone that is vomiting, having difficulty breathing, or is

CONDITIONS FOR USE:

- bleeding profusely from the area around the mouth or nose
- Remove prisoner's jewelry and eyewear before application
- If there is difficulty applying due to large size head, discontinue use

Re-Order #8330-0-2C

wning in ones own fluids.

Sanders participated in restraining Manuel, and that its personnel could be liable for failing to intervene to stop excessive force. As a result, the Pierce County Sheriff's Office should have immediately recused itself from the Manuel Ellis investigation.

- 4.67 Instead, the Pierce County Sheriff's Office launched its sham investigation of this killing.
- 4.68 Through its official spokesperson Ed Troyer, the Pierce County Sheriff's Office distributed several false statements to the public in the weeks following the death of Manuel Ellis.
- 4.69 Mr. Troyer told the public that Manuel had not been tased.
- 4.70 Mr. Troyer told the public that Manuel had not been choked.
- 4.71 Mr. Troyer's false statements were intended to pacify community outrage and help conceal the reality of what had happened on March 3, 2020 that law enforcement officers with the Tacoma Police Department and Pierce County Sheriff's Office had unlawfully killed Manuel Ellis.
- 4.72 Pierce County violated both the spirit and letter of I-940 with its investigation of this incident.
- 4.73 In violation of Washington law, Pierce County (a) failed to give weekly press releases in relation to its investigation, (b) failed to provide the Ellis family with a liaison that would keep them informed on the status of the investigation, and (3) failed to appoint any civilian representatives to its investigative team.
- 4.74 The investigation by Pierce County was negligently conducted and failed to adhere to established law enforcement standards.

- 4.85 Approximately two weeks after the incident Officers Collins, Burbank, Rankine, and Ford were all allowed to return from administrative leave after completing a psychological examination.
- 4.86 The Tacoma Police Department failed to conduct any meaningful review of this incident prior to allowing Officers Collins, Burbank, Rankine, and Ford to return from administrative leave.
- 4.87 The policy, custom, or practice of the Tacoma Police Department failing to conduct any meaningful review of alleged excessive force incidents, including deadly force incidents, prior to clearing officers to return to regular duty is a proximate cause of the civil rights violations delineated herein.
- 4.88 Pierce County Medical Examiner Dr. Thomas B. Clark, III finalized his autopsy report in May 2020 and determined that the manner of death was homicide. Dr. Clark determined that the primary cause of Manuel Ellis' death was hypoxia due to physical restraint.
- 4.89 Victoria Woodards is the Mayor of Tacoma. On June 4, 2020, after the public release of S.M.'s cell phone video showing Officers Collins and Burbank assaulting Manuel Ellis, Mayor Woodards voluntarily participated in a press conference and addressed the public.
- 4.90 Among other statements at the June 4, 2020 press conference Mayor Woodards said that, "I am telling you that I am going to call for several things. And the officers that committed this crime should be fired and prosecuted to the full extent of the law."⁵

⁵ Video of Mayor Woodards June 4, 2020 press conference is publicly available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xv RSDr0kOk&t=151s.

- 4.91 Tacoma has not followed through on the Mayor's statement. Despite overwhelming evidence that has come to light in the last 18 months showing that Tacoma police officers lied to investigators and wrongfully killed Manuel Ellis, Tacoma has ratified the actions of its Officers and declined to take any adverse action against them.
- 4.92 As the Ellis family and social justice organizations were demanding a full and fair investigation into the killing of Manuel Ellis, other officers within the Tacoma Police Department were sending texts of support to the Defendants. One such text was sent on June 5, 2020 and said, "Just wanted to let you guys know that the whole second floor backs you. You guys are studs and did nothing wrong.... Please don't hesitate to call or text. I'm here for you guys no matter what and will help in any way I can." The Tacoma officer who sent that text has been sued on two separate occasions for having shot people. In one case, as the person screamed out in pain the officer told him to "shut the fuck up," shockingly similar to the response to Manuel Ellis' cry for help. The Tacoma Police Department's formal and informal support of the Defendant Officers reflects the policies and customs of Tacoma that ratifies and covers up officers' misconduct and illegal use of excessive force.
- 4.93 The Pierce County Sheriff's Office should never have been leading the Manuel Ellis investigation. From the very beginning the agency had an obvious conflict of interest because Deputy Sanders had assisted in restraining Manuel.
- 4.94 The Washington State Patrol ultimately took over the Manuel Ellis investigation.

 In addition to reviewing evidence already gathered by Pierce County the agency

- undertook its own investigation and attempted to interview some relevant individuals.
- 4.95 Through his counsel, Officer Collins invoked his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in October 2020 and refused to provide any statements to Washington State Patrol investigators.
- 4.96 Through his counsel, Officer Burbank invoked his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in October 2020 and refused to provide any statements to Washington State Patrol investigators.
- 4.97 Through his counsel, Officer Rankine invoked his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in October 2020 and refused to provide any statements to Washington State Patrol investigators.
- 4.98 Through his counsel, Officer Ford invoked his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in October 2020 and refused to provide any statements to Washington State Patrol investigators.
- 4.99 Through his counsel, Officer Farinas invoked his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in October 2020 and refused to provide any statements to Washington State Patrol investigators.
- 4.100 The Washington State Patrol never requested an interview from Officer Komarovsky.
- 4.101 The Washington State Patrol concluded its initial investigation in November 2020 and transmitted its file materials to the Washington State Attorney General's Office for potential prosecution.

4.102 After conducting an exhaustive review of the evidence, and applicable law, the Washington State Attorney General's Office announced its charging decision in May 2021.

4.103 As set forth in the Declaration for Determination of Probable Cause filed in Pierce County Superior Court, Officers Collins, Burbank, and Rankine were criminally charged for killing Manuel Ellis:

"The charge of FELONY MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE against BURBANK and COLLINS is supported by the evidence that Ellis died during the course of and in furtherance of BURBANK and COLLINS's felonious assault and/or unlawful imprisonment of Ellis. The predicate felonies of assault in the second and third degrees are supported by evidence that BURBANK and COLLINS, acting as either principles or accomplices, tackled and struck Ellis multiple times, applied an LVNR on Ellis, and shot him with a taser three times, all without justification for these uses of force. Accordingly, this evidence, along with other evidence detailed above, supports that BURBANK and COLLINS committed second degree assault by any of the following means: (1) intentionally assaulting Ellis and thereby recklessly inflicting substantially bodily harm; (2) assaulting Ellis with the intent to commit unlawful imprisonment; and/or (3) assaulting Ellis by strangulation or suffocation. The evidence also supports that BURBANK and COLLINS committed third-degree assault by either of the following means: (1) acting with criminal negligence in causing bodily harm to Ellis by means

of a weapon or other instrument or thing likely to produce bodily harm; and/or (2) causing bodily harm to Ellis accompanied by substantial pain that extends for a period sufficient to cause considerable suffering. The predicate felony of unlawful imprisonment is also supported by the above-detailed evidence that BURBANK and COLLINS knowingly restrained Ellis without justification.

The charge of FIRST DEGREE MANSLAUGHTER against BURBANK and COLLINS is supported by the above-detailed evidence showing that the officers recklessly caused Ellis's death when they (1) assaulted and restrained Ellis without justification; (2) failed to render or call for urgent medical aid after Ellis exhibited medical distress and said he could not breathe; (3) placed Ellis in hogtic restraints; (4) failed to alert other officers to Ellis's medical distress; and (5) failed to intervene when the officer put the spit hood on Ellis and then failed to remove the spit hood, among other facts and circumstances.

The charge of FIRST DEGREE MANSLAUGHTER against RANKINE is supported by the above-detailed evidence showing that RANKINE recklessly caused Ellis's death when, after hearing Ellis say he could not breathe, RANKINE continued to hold Ellis in the prone position and to apply pressure onto his back. The charge is also supported by the evidence that RANKINE failed to render or call for urgent medical aid as Ellis exhibited signs of a medical crisis, failed to alert other officers to Ellis's medical distress, failed to stop another officer from putting a spit hood over Ellis's head, and failed to remove the spit hood as Ellis's condition declined, among other facts and circumstances."

(Declaration for Determination of Probable Cause filed in *State of Washington* v. *Christopher Shane Burbank*, Pierce County Superior Court case number 21-1-01286-6, attached hereto as Exhibit "A").

- 4.104 Officers Collins, Burbank, and Rankine have pled not guilty to the criminal charges.
- 4.105 Even after Officers Collins, Burbank, and Rankine were charged with unlawfully killing Manuel Ellis, the City has still declined to take any adverse action against them.
- 4.106 Even after Officers Collins, Burbank, and Rankine were charged with unlawfully killing Manuel Ellis, Tacoma has continued to pay the men their regular wages. To date, Officers Collins, Burbank, and Rankine have received over 15 months of compensation while on paid administrative leave.
- 4.107 Tacoma citizens, including family members of Manuel Ellis, have effectively been forced to help subsidize and support the unlawful conduct of Officers Collins, Burbank, and Rankine through the taxes they must pay to the City.
- 4.108 The Defendants did not have a legitimate justification for stopping, seizing and using deadly force against Manuel.
- 4.109 The Defendants told many lies about the encounter and omitted critical facts from their reports. As one example, Officers Collins and Burbank never advised anyone that Manuel Ellis had put both of his hands up and tried to surrender.
- 4.110 Manuel Ellis experienced significant pain, suffering, and fear prior to dying.
- 4.111 Manuel Ellis was 33 years old when he was killed. He was a black man. Manuel was treated like he was a dangerous wild animal. Defendants described Manuel as

- being aggressive, growling, "baring his teeth," and having "freakish" superhuman strength.
- 4.112 The Defendant' actions were motivated by cruel, racist intent, and a belief that they could get away with lynching a black man on the public streets of Tacoma.
- 4.113 If not for the bravery of S.M. and S.C. using their cell phones to record part of this incident and ultimately coming forward as independent eyewitnesses to say what they observed, the Defendants' lies might have gone unchallenged and they likely would have avoided all consequences for killing Manuel Ellis.
- 4.114 As of March 3, 2020, the Tacoma Police Department had not outfitted its vehicles with dash cameras, or its patrol officers with body cams.
- 4.115 The Tacoma Defendants believed they could act with impunity and kill Manuel without suffering any repercussions, in part because they believed they were not being recorded during the incident.
- 4.116 The Tacoma Defendants also believed they could kill Manuel without any repercussions because Tacoma implicitly and overtly condones and defends officers that use excessive force against individuals. Tacoma police officers are aware that they are unlikely to receive any discipline when they use deadly force against a human being. The City of Tacoma repeatedly fails to discipline officers who commit acts of excessive force, especially when it involves the use of deadly force.
- 4.117 The City of Tacoma has a policy or custom of failing to discipline officers who violate the Fourth Amendment rights of individuals, use excessive force, seize

people without probable cause, fail to intervene to stop excessive force, and lie to coverup relevant evidence.

- 4.118 The City of Tacoma's policy or custom tolerating and condoning police misconduct by its personnel, including Officers Collins, Burbank, Rankine, Ford, Farinas, and Komarovsky directly and proximately resulted in the death of Manuel Ellis.
- 4.119 As of March 3, 2020, the Pierce County Sheriff's Office had not outfitted its vehicles with dash cameras, or its patrol officers with body cams.
- 4.120 The Pierce County Defendants believed they could act with impunity and kill Manuel without suffering any repercussions, in part because they believed they were not being recorded during the incident.
- 4.121 The Pierce County Defendants also believed they could kill Manuel without any repercussions because Pierce County implicitly and overtly condones and defends officers that use excessive force against individuals. Pierce County law enforcement officers are aware that they are unlikely to receive any discipline when they use deadly force against a human being. Pierce County repeatedly fails to discipline officers who commit acts of excessive force, especially when it involves the use of deadly force.
- 4.122 Pierce County has a policy or custom of failing to discipline officers who violate the Fourth Amendment rights of individuals, use excessive force, seize people without probable cause, fail to intervene to stop excessive force, and lie to coverup relevant evidence.

- 4.123 Pierce County's policy or custom tolerating and condoning police misconduct by its personnel, including Deputy Sanders and Lieutenant Messineo, directly and proximately resulted in the death of Manuel Ellis.
- 4.124 Tacoma failed to adequately train and supervise Defendants Collins, Burbank, Rankine, Ford, Farinas, and Komarovsky.
- 4.125 Pierce County failed to adequately train and supervise Defendants Sanders and Messineo.
- 4.126 Manuel's family and loved ones miss him dearly. Manuel was a central figure in their lives. He would make sure that his mother's lawn was mowed and that she was taking her medication. Manuel was always present in his mother's life. Manuel also provided his sister with significant care and support. He regularly watched her children and was on the list of people authorized to pick them up from school. His nephews and nieces miss him as well. Tragically, Manuel's minor child J.C. is forced to grow up without his father's love and support.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

- 5.1. <u>First Cause of Action.</u> Defendants Matthew Collins, Christopher Burbank, Timothy Rankine, Masyih Ford, Armando Farinas, Ron Komarovsky, Gary Sanders, and Anthony Messineo are liable to the Plaintiffs for using excessive/deadly force and violating Manuel Ellis's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights, and/or for failing to intervene to prevent the other Officers from violating the decedent's rights. (42 U.S.C. § 1983).
- 5.2. The actions of the Defendants were objectively unreasonable, violated clearly established law, and Plaintiffs' claims are not barred by qualified immunity.

- 5.3. Second Cause of Action. Defendant City of Tacoma is liable to the Plaintiffs for the violations of Manuel Ellis's constitutional rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 because the Defendant's policies, procedures, or customs were the moving force of the constitutional violations.
- 5.4. The myriad of failures described in the paragraphs above were due to, and were emblematic of, the City of Tacoma's inadequate and reckless policies, procedures, customs, and supervision regarding arrests, the Fourth Amendment, and the use of deadly force.
- 5.5. The City of Tacoma was deliberately indifferent to the fact that its inadequate and reckless policies, procedures, customs, training, and supervision would be the moving force behind its Police Officers using unnecessary and unreasonable force.
- 5.6. Upon information and belief, Defendants Matthew Collins, Christopher Burbank, Timothy Rankine, Masyih Ford, Armando Farinas, and Ron Komarovsky were not subject to any discipline as a result of their role in causing Manuel's unjustified and unnecessary death, or the violations of policy and reckless actions described above.
- 5.7. Defendant City of Tacoma's ratification of this unlawful killing continues, and its ratification and failure to take corrective action reflects its deliberate indifference to the danger of constitutional violations and physical harm its policies and practices create.
- 5.8. Third Cause of Action. Defendant Pierce County is liable to the Plaintiffs for the violations of Manuel Ellis's constitutional rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 because the Defendant's policies, procedures, or customs were the moving force of the constitutional violations.

- 5.9. The myriad of failures described in the paragraphs above were due to, and were emblematic of, Pierce County's inadequate and reckless policies, procedures, customs, and supervision regarding arrests, the Fourth Amendment, and the use of deadly force.
- 5.10. Pierce County was deliberately indifferent to the fact that its inadequate and reckless policies, procedures, customs, training, and supervision would be the moving force behind its officers using unnecessary and unreasonable force.
- 5.11. Upon information and belief, Defendants Gary Sanders and Anthony Messineo were not subject to any discipline as a result of their role in causing Manuel's unjustified and unnecessary death, or the violations of policy and reckless actions described above.
- 5.12. Defendant Pierce County's ratification of this unlawful killing continues, and its ratification and its failure to take corrective action reflects its deliberate indifference to the danger of constitutional violations and physical harm its policies and practices create.
- 5.13. <u>Fourth Cause of Action.</u> Defendants Matthew Collins, Christopher Burbank, Timothy Rankine, Masyih Ford Armando Farinas, Ron Komarovsky, Gary Sanders, and Anthony Messineo committed the tort of assault and battery.
- 5.14. <u>Fifth Cause of Action.</u> Defendants Matthew Collins, Christopher Burbank, Timothy Rankine, Masyih Ford, Armando Farinas, Ron Komarovsky, Gary Sanders, and Anthony Messineo are liable to the Plaintiffs for the torts of outrage and intentional infliction of emotional distress.

5.15. <u>Sixth Cause of Action.</u> Defendants Matthew Collins, Christopher Burbank, Timothy Rankine, Masyih Ford, Armando Farinas, Ron Komarovsky, Gary Sanders, and Anthony Messineo are liable for intentionally causing the wrongful death of Manuel Ellis.

- 5.16. <u>Seventh Cause of Action.</u> Defendants Matthew Collins, Christopher Burbank, Timothy Rankine, Masyih Ford, Armando Farinas, Ron Komarovsky, Gary Sanders, and Anthony Messineo are liable for negligently causing the wrongful death of Manuel Ellis.
- 5.17. <u>Eighth Cause of Action.</u> Defendant City of Tacoma is liable for the tortious actions of its officers undertaken within the course and scope of their employment, pursuant to the doctrine of respondeat superior.⁶
- 5.18. Ninth Cause of Action. In committing the acts alleged in the preceding paragraphs, the Tacoma Police Officers acted at all relevant times within the scope of their employment with Tacoma. As a result, pursuant to State Law, Defendant City of Tacoma must indemnify the Defendant Officers for any judgment against them.
- 5.19. <u>Tenth Cause of Action.</u> Defendant Pierce County is liable for the tortious actions of its officers undertaken within the course and scope of their employment, pursuant to the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- 5.20. <u>Eleventh Cause of Action.</u> In committing the acts alleged in the preceding paragraphs, Deputy Sanders and Lieutenant Messineo acted at all relevant times within the scope of their employment with Pierce County. As a result, pursuant to

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 27

⁶ Prior to filing this Complaint the Plaintiffs submitted Claim for Damages Forms to the City of Tacoma and Pierce County in compliance with RCW 4.96.020. Any prerequisites to bringing claims under Washington law have been satisfied.

State Law, Defendant Pierce County must indemnify the Defendant Officers for any judgment against them.

- 5.21. Twelfth Cause of Action. All Defendants are liable for damages arising from their unlawful conduct that caused the wrongful death of Manuel Ellis. The Defendants' actions as described in this Complaint were a substantial factor in bringing about Manuel's death, and without those actions, the death of Manuel would not have occurred.
- 5.22. Thirteenth Cause of Action. By virtue of the facts set forth above, the conduct of the Defendants shocks the conscience and they are liable for compensatory and punitive damages for deprivation of the civil rights of Plaintiff Marcia Carter, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff Marcia Carter has a constitutionally protected liberty interest under the Fourteenth Amendment in the companionship and society of her child Manuel Ellis. See, *Curnow v. Ridgecrest Police*, 952 F.2d 321 (9th Cir. 1991); *Orn v. City of Tacoma*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 59972, 2018 WL 1709497 (W.D. Wash 2018). Marcia Carter has suffered complete destruction of her parent child relationship, loss of companionship, and mental anguish as a result of her son being unlawfully killed. Marcia Carter's liberty interest in a familial relationship with her son, Manuel Ellis, was taken from her without due process of law.
- 5.23. Fourteenth Cause of Action. Monet Carter-Mixon is the personal representative of the Estate of Manuel Ellis and she is authorized to pursue claims against the Defendants.

- 5.24. Prior to his death, Manuel suffered serious personal injuries at the hands of the Defendants, including but not limited to severe pain and emotional distress.
- 5.25. All Defendants are liable for these damages arising from their unlawful conduct that caused Manuel's severe pain and emotional distress in that Manuel's injuries were caused by the Defendants' wrongful acts, deliberate indifference, recklessness, neglect, carelessness, or unskillfulness while acting as agents of the City of Tacoma and/or Pierce County.
- 5.26. The Defendants actions as described in this Complaint were a substantial factor in bringing about the injuries described in this Count, and without those actions, these injuries would not have occurred.

VI. Plaintiffs' Immense Damages

- 6.1 Manuel Ellis (known to his family and friends as "Manny") was 33 years old when he died. Based on his life expectancy it is expected that he would have lived for several decades if his life had not been abruptly cut short by the Defendants.
- 6.2 Manuel had a passion for life. He loved music and sports. Manuel loved playing drums in his church band and enjoyed time with his family. He especially loved spending time with his mother, siblings, and other family members.
- 6.3 Manuel experienced unthinkable pain, suffering, and fear prior to death. Manuel suffered for an extended period of time before he passed away.
- 6.4 As a result of the killing of her son, Marcia Carter suffered injury to her parent child relationship with Manuel. She has suffered, and continues to suffer, serious emotional harm as a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct.

- As a proximate result of the Defendant's unlawful conduct described above,
 Plaintiffs have incurred medical and funeral expenses, lost earnings and earning
 capacity, suffered physical and emotional pain, suffering, and disfigurement, lost
 liberty, lost the enjoyment of life, and suffered other special and general damages.
- 6.6 Plaintiffs seek recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and applicable Washington law.
- 6.7 The actions of Defendants Collins, Burbank, Rankine, Ford, Farinas, Komarovsky, Sanders, and Messineo were reprehensible, malicious, oppressive, and showed reckless disregard for Manuel's life. Plaintiffs seek recovery of punitive damages from the individually named Defendants.
- Per RCW 49.60.030, the Defendants are liable to the Plaintiffs for violation of the Plaintiffs' civil rights to the right to be free from discrimination because of race, creed, color, national origin, sex, honorably discharged veteran or military status, sexual orientation, or the presence of any sensory, mental, or physical disability or the use of a trained dog guide or service animal by a person with a disability is recognized as and declared to be a civil rights. Manuel Ellis was a black man who was stopped by police officers while walking home from 7-Eleven with doughnuts and a bottle of water. He was deemed suspicious by the officers and they beat, tased, choked, and hogtied him as a result of their false perceptions of Manuel Ellis that are irretrievably linked to his race.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants; award compensatory damages and attorney fees, as well as punitive

1	damages against the Defendants; and enter any additional relief this Court deems just and	
2	appropriate.	
3	DATED this the 8th day of October, 2021.	
4	Bilibb and the tar any of section, 2021.	
5	JAMES BIBLE LAW GROUP	
6		
7	By Chima Kill	
8	James Bible, WSBA #33985	
9	14205 Se 36 th Street Suite 100 Bellevue, WA 98006	
10	Telephone: 425-519-3675 Email: James@biblelawgroup.com	
11	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
12		
13		
14	DERMER APPEL RUDER, LLC	
15		
16	Bys/ Stephen Dermer	
17	Stephen Dermer	
18	Georgia Bar No. 219267 6075 The Corners Parkway, Suite 210	
19	Peachtree Corners, GA 30092 Telephone: 404-881-3542	
20	Email: sdermer@darlawllc.com Attorney for Plaintiffs	
21	(Pro Hac Vice application pending)	
22		
23	By s/ Mathew A. Ericksen, Sr.	
24	Matthew A. Ericksen, Sr.	
25	Georgia Bar No. 304088 6075 The Corners Parkway, Suite 210	
26	Peachtree Corners, GA 30092 Telephone: 404-881-3543	
27	Email: mericksen@darlawllc.com Attorney for Plaintiffs	

1	(Pro Hac Vice application pending)
2	(110 True vice application pending)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	